

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/763,317	01/26/2004	Thierry Marion	P24723	6956	
7055	7590 06/15/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			WALTERS, JO	WALTERS, JOHN DANIEL	
1950 ROLAN RESTON, V	ND CLARKE PLACE A 20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3618		
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/763,317	MARION ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
John D. Walters	3618		

•	- Lamino	Air Ollic				
	John D. Walters	3618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		cotca ciaims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s).			_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	it before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Anneal will no	nt he entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•	, , ,	•			
11. 🗵 The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SR/08 or PTO-1440) Pager N	Jo(s)				
13. Other:	(1 TO/OD/OU OF TO-1449) Faper I	6				
		CHRISTOPHER P.	FILIS			
	III SII	PERVISORY PATENT				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claim language does not reflect the structural differences between Applicant's invention and the prior art of record, regardless of the use of lay vs. industry definitions.